

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/734,107	12/15/2003	Daniel Brun-Buisson	1435-140-1 4864			
22852 75	590 10/27/2004	EXAMINER				
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FAR.	DAVIS, BRIAN J				
LLP			A DOT A DATE	DANIE AND COME		
1300 I STREE	Γ, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	1621				
	•			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
				BRUN-BUISSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		10/734,						
	,			Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	Brian J.		1621 correspondence addres				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 August 200	04.	`				
•	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the me	erits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) 8-24 is/are pending in the	application	•	•	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.	*				
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/169,579. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)			

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The objection to the specification because it does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required under 37 CFR 1.72(b) is maintained. Applicant's latest amendment does not supply an abstract.

102 Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claims 8-10 under 35 USC 102(b), outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record and expanded to include applicant's new claims 11-19. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 11-19, these claims are product-by-process claims and even though such claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) MPEP 2112.02. Thus, in the paragraphs below, claims 8-19 are treated as a group.

Art Unit: 1621

As was stated in the previous Office Action: To be patentable, a novel form of an old compound must possess a **new utility** or **a utility of a different type**. This statement was supported by a number of case law citations.

Applicant's argument hinges upon the alleged disregard on the part of the Office of the instant limitation regarding a TEA with a high thermal stability - as demonstrated by the instant claimed color index. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

As is made clear by applicant on page 1 of the specification, TEA is widely used in industry, in particular in the pharmaceutical and cosmetological industries.

Applicant's form of TEA possesses certain desirable characteristics, granted, but that in itself is not enough to overcome the outstanding rejection, because it is a mere improvement in properties. Applicant does not demonstrate or claim that the instant TEA possess a new utility or utility of a different type – which is the requirement for patentability of a novel form of an old compound.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-24 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As adumbrated by applicant on pages 1-3 of the specification, the prior art teaches the addition of any number of compounds to alkanolamine mixtures or the individual alkanolamines in order to purify or otherwise improve the color stability of these compounds. The prior art does not, however, teach or suggest the instant compositions of triethanolamine-based mixtures containing 500-50 ppm of a secondary

Art Unit: 1621

dialkanolamine, and optionally 100-10 ppm of a monoethanolamine, such that the resulting mixture meets a certain set of characteristics (sulfuric ash content and color index). Nor would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the compositions/mixtures of the prior art in order to arrive at those of the instant invention. There is no motivation to do so.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brian J. Davis October 26, 2004